

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 30/2017

Shri Vishal Kalangutkar,
Sr. Storekeeper,
Government Polytechnic Panaji,
Altinho Panaji Goa.

.....Appellant

V/s

1. Public Information Officer,
Seema Malkarnekar, Deputy Secretary,
Goa Public Service Commission,
Old Education Building 3rd floor,
18th June Road, Panaji Goa.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
Goa Public Service Commission,
Old Education Building 3rd floor,
18th June Road, Panaji Goa.

..... Respondents

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 03/04/2017

Decided on: 14/11/2017

ORDER

1. The Brief facts leading to the present appeal are that the appellant , Shri Vishal kalangutkar by his application, dated 12/12/2016, filed u/s 6(1) under RTI Act 2005, sought certain information from the Respondent No. 1 PIO , Goa Public Service Commission (GPSC),Panaji, Goa under 13 points as stated therein in the said application and also sought for inspection of all concerned subject files/Diaries and Registers .
2. The Respondent NO. 1 PIO vide letter dated 16/12/2016 transferred the said application to the PIO, Department of Personnel with a request to furnish the information to the appellant with respect of point NO. 3 and 4 .

3. The said application was also responded by the respondent no.1 PIO on 29/12/2016 denying the information to the appellant as it would impede the process of recruitment to the post of Assistant store officer and stores officers under the Directorate of Technical Education, was in progress . Vide said letter it was informed to the appellant that information will be provided to him after the selection process is over.
4. Being not satisfied with the reply of Respondent PIO and as the information as sought was not furnished , the appellant filed first appeal u/s 19(1) of RTI Act,2005 with the Respondent No. 2 herein on 09/01/2017.
5. The respondent No. 2 FAA ,vide order dated 21/02/2017 dismissed the appeal of the appellant by upholding the say of PIO.
6. The appellant being aggrieved by the response of the PIO and order of FAA, has approached this commission in the second appeal filed u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act on 03/04/2017 with the contention that the information is still not provided and seeking order from this commission to direct the PIO to furnish the information and also for other relief .
7. Notices were issued to the parties. Pursuant to which appellant was represented by Advocate Atish Mandrekar. Respondent PIO Miss Sadhana Kenkere alongwith advocate Ashish Kunkelkar was present. Respondent No. 2 First appellate authority opted to remain absent .
8. In the course of the present proceedings, Respondent No.1 PIO submitted that in pursuant to her letter dated 21/8/2017, the appellant visited their office on 19/9/2017 and carried out the inspection of the relevant files and identified documents which are required by him and accordingly said is furnished to the appellant. The compliance report is also filed by the PIO on 22/09/2017.

9. The appellant on 14/11/2017 submitted that the information furnished to him by the PIO is as per his satisfaction and requirement. He graciously waived the prayer for penalty and accordingly made his endorsement on memo of appeal .
10. In view of the submissions and endorsement made by the appellant, I find no reasons to proceed with the present appeal. Hence the appeal proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa